1	PAUL HASTINGS LLP CHRISTOPHER H. McGRATH (pro hac vice forthcoming) chrismcgrath@paulhastings.com 695 Town Center Drive, 17th Floor Costa Mesa, California 92626-1924 Telephone: (714) 668-6200 Facsimile: (714) 979-1921 Counsel for All Defendants	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	PISANELLI BICE PLLC JORDAN T. SMITH (NV Bar No. 12097)_	
7	JTS@pisanellibice.com 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300	
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702.214.2100	
9	Facsimile: 702.214.2101	
10	Counsel for Defendant Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
12	JOSE CHUNG LUO, Individually and on	CASE NO.: 2:21-cv-01612-JAD-BNW
13	Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14	Plaintiffs,	ORDER STAYING DÉADLINE TÓ
15	v.	ANSWER THE COMPLAINT
16	SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,	
17	JOSEPH W. TURGEON, KURT A. GUSTAFSON, and FRANCOIS LEBEL,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Plaintiff Jose Chung Luo ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	
21	Joseph W. Turgeon, Kurt A. Gustafson, and Francois Lebel (collectively, "Defendants," and	
22	together with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate	
23	and agree as follows and jointly request that the Court enter the below Order approving thi	
24	Stipulation:	
25	WHEREAS, the Class Action Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Law	
26	(the "Initial Complaint") was filed in this action on August 31, 2021; and	
27	WHEREAS, this action is a putative private securities class action litigation subject to the	
28	Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4;	

1 WHEREAS, the Parties anticipate that, after the Court has designated a lead plaintiff 2 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B) ("Lead Plaintiff"), the Lead Plaintiff will file an amended 3 class action complaint; 4 WHEREAS, the Parties have simultaneously submitted a stipulation staying Defendants' 5 time to answer the Initial Complaint; 6 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred, and agree that a response from 7 Defendants to the Initial Complaint, which will in all likelihood be superseded by a consolidated 8 or amended class complaint filed by the Lead Plaintiff, would invite waste, duplicative effort, and 9 needless expenditure of judicial and party resources. 10 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT: 11 Lead plaintiff will file an amended complaint within sixty (60) days following 1. 12 appointment as lead plaintiff. 13 2. Defendants will answer or otherwise respond within sixty (60) days thereafter; if 14 Defendants file a motion to dismiss, the lead plaintiff may file an opposition to the 15 motion to dismiss forty-five (45) days thereafter; and Defendants may file a reply 16 brief in further support within thirty (30) days of the filing of lead plaintiff's 17 opposition 18 DATED this 1st day of March, 2022. 19 MUEHLBAUER LAW OFFICE, LTD. PISANELLI BICE PLLC 20 21 By: /s/ Andrew R. Muehlbauer /s/ Jordan T. Smith By: Andrew R. Muehlbauer, Esq. Jordan T. Smith, Esq., #12097 22 7915 West Sahara Ave., Suite 104 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 23 Liaison Counsel for Plaintiff Counsel for Defendant Spectrum 24 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 25 26 27 28

1 POMERANTZ LLP PAUL HASTINGS LLP 2 /s/ Thomas Przybylowski /s/ Christopher H. McGrath 3 Jeremy A. Lieberman, Esq. (pro hac vice) Christopher H. McGrath, Esq. J. Alexander Hood II, Esq. (pro hac vice) (pro hac vice forthcoming) 600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor 695 Town Center Drive, 17th Floor 4 New York, New York 10016 Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1924 5 Counsel for Plaintiff Counsel for All Defendants 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 **ORDER** IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 33 is GRANTED in part and DENIED in 16 part consistent with this Order. 17 It is GRANTED to the extent that the lead Plaintiff will have 60 days to 18 file an amended complaint and Defendants will have 30 days to file an answer or motion to dismiss. 19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' request for extended 20 briefing on the motion to dismiss is DENIED without prejudice. The 21 Court, again (see ECF No. 31), advises the parties that they must separately file a request for extended briefing on a motion to dismiss, as 22 this will be decided by the District Judge. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 10:45 am, March 02, 2022 24 en loweter 25 BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 26 27 28